

# MATH8510

## Lecture 2 Notes

Charlie Conneen

August 26, 2022

### Absolute Values

**Definition.** Let  $K$  be a field. An **absolute value** on  $K$  is a function  $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that the following conditions hold:

1.  $|x| = 0 \iff x = 0$  for all  $x \in K$ .
2.  $|xy| = |x| \cdot |y|$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .
3.  $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

**Proposition.** *Every  $K$  has an absolute value.*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be any field and let  $|\cdot|_0$  be the constant function  $x \mapsto 0$ . ■

A few initial comments: conditions (1) and (2) show that  $|1| = 1$ . (1) and (3) together endow  $K$  with a metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ , and the metric topology is generated by open balls  $B_r(y) := \{x \in K \mid |x - y| < r\}$ .

Condition (2) on its own shows that  $|x| > 0$  for every unit  $x \in K^\times = K \setminus \{0\}$  whenever  $|\cdot|$  is not the “trivial absolute value” from the above proposition. In particular, it shows that  $|\cdot| : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  is a group homomorphism. Its kernel contains the roots of unity (which we will denote  $\mu(K) \subseteq K$ ) since

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \mu(K) &\implies x^m = 1 \\ &\implies |x|^m = 1 \\ &\implies |x^m| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition.** Let  $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be an absolute value on a field  $K$ . the **value group** of the pair  $(K, |\cdot|)$  is the image of the group homomorphism  $|\cdot| : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . We will denote the value group by  $Z_K$ .

**Definition.** Suppose  $K$  is a field with absolute value  $|\cdot|$ . We say that  $|\cdot|$  is **Archimedean** if  $Z_K$  is unbounded with respect to  $|\cdot|$ , that is, for every  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , there exists some  $x \in Z_K$  such that  $|x| > r$ . Otherwise, we say that  $|\cdot|$  is **non-Archimedean**.

**Theorem.** Suppose  $K$  is a field,  $|\cdot|$  an absolute value on  $K$ . The following are equivalent:

1.  $|\cdot|$  is non-Archimedean;
2.  $|z| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ ;
3.  $|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

*Proof.* We will prove (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) first.

Suppose  $\neg(2)$ , i.e. there exists some  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_K$  such that  $|z| > 1$ . Then given any  $r > 0$ , there exists some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|z^n| = |z|^n > r$ . This shows  $\neg(1)$ . So (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

Now suppose  $|z| \leq 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ . Now suppose  $x, y \in K$ . Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |x + y|^n &= |(x + y)^n| = \left| \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \cdot x^j y^{n-j} \right| \leq \sum_{j=0}^n \left| \binom{n}{j} \right| \cdot |x|^j |y|^{n-j} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^n \max\{|x|, |y|\}^n = (n + 1) \cdot \max\{|x|, |y|\}^n \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $|x + y| \leq \sqrt[n]{n + 1} (\max\{|x|, |y|\})$ . Since  $\sqrt[n]{n + 1} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , it follows that  $|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$ . So (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

To see that (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), we use induction; if  $|z| \leq 1$  for some  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ , then we may observe that  $|z \pm 1| \leq \max\{|z|, |\pm 1|\} \leq 1$ . The fact that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is immediate.  $\blacksquare$

**Theorem.** Let  $K$  be a field,  $|\cdot|$  a non-Archimedean absolute value on  $K$ . Then the closed unit ball  $\mathcal{O}_K := \{x \in K \mid |x| \leq 1\}$  is a subring of  $K$ . Furthermore, the open unit ball  $\mathfrak{m}_K := \{x \in K \mid |x| < 1\}$  is the unique maximal ideal in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $\pm 1 \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , and for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ ,

$$|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq 1$$

and thus  $x + y \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Similarly,  $|xy| = |x| \cdot |y| \leq 1$ , so  $xy \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . So indeed  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a subring of  $K$ . The proof that  $\mathfrak{m}_K$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is similarly clear. So it is left to show that  $\mathfrak{m}_K$  is a maximal, and is unique with this property.

Let  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  be any ideal such that  $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}_K$ . Then  $\exists x \in I \setminus \mathfrak{m}_K$ . Since  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \mathfrak{m}_K$ , we see that  $|x| = 1$ . Furthermore, observe that  $\mathcal{O}_K \setminus \mathfrak{m}_K = \{x \in K \mid |x| = 1\}$ , so  $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , or in other words,  $x$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . So  $I$  contains a unit, and hence  $I = \mathcal{O}_K$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary.** If  $(K, |\cdot|)$  is a non-Archimedean field, then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a local ring.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary.** The group of units in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\times = \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \mathfrak{m}_K = \{x \in K \mid |x| = 1\},$$

which is the “unit circle” in  $K$ .  $\blacksquare$

The quotient  $\kappa := \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}_K$  is a field, called the **residue field** of  $(K, |\cdot|)$ .